G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1894-5

~~1894~~.

(Madras)

File No. 17

Serial Nos.

Section II.

Later references.

mis. 182-23-11-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the management of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple in Srirangapattanam, Narsipur Taluk.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

62

Muz F 178 1894.

Muz. 8] 190 ..

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the misappropriation of the income of the Inam endowments attached to the Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Srirangarajpur Agrahar, T. Narsipur Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

D Letter No. 121 Dated 21st August 1905.

The Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Srirangarajpur Agrahar in the T. Narsipur Taluk, is a Government Muzrai Institution. The management of the Institution was left in the hands of Srinivasa Raghavachar, as the person most interested in keeping up the Temple, built and endowed by his ancestors. Upon his complaining of the interference of the local Muzrai officers in the management of the Temple, the Government observed in their Proceedings No 157-8 Dated

File No.	Muzrai Secretariat.

14th August 1894 (A printed copy of which is put up) that Government will not object to the Yajman - Srinivasaraghava Bhar - doing what Dharmadarsis do in other Temples, so long as he duly performs the duties entrusted to him and that the Government in the Muzrai Department is the sole judge of the way in which he performs such duties. slip A

Complaint petitions were received in this office and the Parpathegar of the temple petitioned His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, bringing to notice that the Manager of the Institution was misappropriating the produce of the Inam lands endowed thereto and praying that his services may be dispensed with and a competent person appointed in his stead. This latter petition having been transferred to this Department for report, it was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District in continuation * slip B

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

of the previous petitions on the subject. Meanwhile, Srinivasaraghava Char telegraphed to this office stating that he was the sole Manager, that the padikara &c. was disbursed to his brother and that until the matter was favourably disposed of by Government he would not step into the temple and be responsible for the future consequences. This was also referred to the Deputy Commissioner and after the issue of several reminders that Officer has submitted a report, from which it is observed as follows:—

(P 121 of 21st Aug 1905 ✓
Paper under disposal)

Out of 8 Survey numbers endowed to the Institution only 3 are stated to be in the possession of the Archak - who is in possession and enjoyment thereof in return for the services rendered to the temple.

(4)

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

The remaining lands are said to be in the possession and enjoyment of Shri Vasaraghava Char himself. The site built and occupied by Thorai people who were paying house tax to the temple is said to have been converted by the Manager into a Plantain Garden and leased out on an annual Gutta of Rs 10/- and utilized, not for the temple but for his own purposes. Similarly, a site built by Dhumbars who used to pay the house taxes &c. to the temple is stated to have been converted into wet land and the produce thereof utilized by the Manager. The produce of Survey No 1067 and of Survey Nos. 374, 375 and 376 estimated at about 66 Kandies of paddy which the temple used to

get is stated to have been utilized by the Manager for his own purposes. Similarly the produce of the land under the Somanathpur Tank is also stated to have been misappropriated by the Manager. Besides, Survey Nos 374, 375 and 376 are stated to have been attached in satisfaction of a decree against the Manager and that upon information, the Amildar of Sarvipur appears to have moved the District Judge pointing out the inalienability and non-attachment of these Inam Lands. Many of the Vrittidars in the Agrahar appear to have left the place (reason not known). The Garbhagriha and the Compound wall of the temple are stated to be in need of repairs. It is recommended that the right to cultivate the Inam Land may be

(6)

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

ordered to be sold as in the case of other Temple lands.

[It is respectfully pointed out that the Manager referred to is Srinivasaraghava Char, godidar of Ukkalagere who was accused of ~~Case pending~~ under the murder of Hundi Krishnama Char &c. &c.]

In this case His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to call for a report.

The point for orders is whether Srinivasaraghava Char may be allowed to continue to be in sole charge of the Institution or whether, under the circumstances reported by the Deputy Commissioner the Temple together with its endowments may

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190
	lee taken over under the direct~~Government~~ management of Govt.
Serewilled. W/ 26/8/05	with a draft to the Inam Supt calling for an Extract from the Inam Register of the lands endowed to this temple
	ps 30/8/05
Note cont'd :— Flag C	The Copy of the Inam Register has been received with the Inam Superintendents' Docket No. 55 of 3rd Oct. 1905, from which it is observed as follows:— As per Sanad of Vikruti year (1830-31 A.D.) granted by H.H the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur 116 lands to the extent of 35 Pagodas were granted to the temple. During the Settlement, lands to the extent of 2 Khandis wet — total 18 Vrittis — were confirmed to the Temple as

(8)

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

in the Sri Ranganathaswamy Agraharam which whole village however is a 'Peramanal' inam.

The temple has, besides the inam lands as above, been in the enjoyment of an annual cash Jodi of Rs 173-15-0, and is as such a Muzrai institution.

Submitted for orders on the points noted in pages 6 & 7.

18/10/05

Some further enquiry is necessary. Please issue the draft which has been prepared under my instructions.

17/12/05

Camp Kalasa

PTO

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued:—

As directed on page 8 of this note, further information was called for from the Dy Commr. After the issue of several reminders, that Officer, reports that Sreenivasa Raghavachar, the manager of the temple, died on the 27th Feb: 1906 and that his brother Ramachar admits the mismanagement of the institution by his brother & states that if he is given the management, he would set matters right. The Amildar and the Asst Commr recommend the appointment of Ramachar as manager for a period of two years. The Dy Commr requests sanction to the recommendation.

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to order

His No 200 Dy 9.11.06

Para ... of

submitted
9.11.06
M

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

a report in this case —

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

Sreerangarajpur is a personal Inam granted to the ancestors of the deceased manager Sreenivasa Raghavachar. The Inam lands endowed to the Institution are situated in the said Inam village. The temple is a Muzrai Institution and as such the Govt. in the Muzrai Department has no doubt every right to interfere with its internal management whenever the Manager does not conduct himself properly. But the Manager Sreenivasa Raghavachar against whom complaints of mismanagement have been received is reported to have since died. The Deputy Commissioner and other Local Muzrai Officers recommend that the Management of the Institution be entrusted temporarily for a period of 2 years to Ranga char brother of the deceased who has undertaken to set matters right. Their recommendation may therefore be approved subject to the conditions (i) that Ranga char

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

should redeem the alienated temple lands within a period of one year (ii) that the income derived from the sites and other lands belonging to the temple which his deceased brother was reported to have been unauthorizedly enjoying should hereafter be utilized solely for the benefit of the Institution and (iii) that the temple which is said to be in need of some repair, should be put in order as early as possible.

In this case His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to call for a report.

395

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor. Muthusamy

11th March 1907.

The above proposals may be accepted, Rungachari being distinctly given to understand that if he does not get matters

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

right within the time given,
Govt will resume management
of the lands + temple and make
other arrangts for managing
the Temple affairs.

4116
13.3.07

Yr Most Obedt
Servt
Councillor

12/3/07.

12/3/07

Submitted to His Highness.

B

With reference to Private Secretary's Memo No.1974 dated 17th February 1905, I submit herewith the file of papers relating to the Memorial of one Srinivasa Raghavachar of T-Narsipur complaining of mismanagement of temple affairs by Srinivasa Raghavachar the Manager of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangarajpuram.

It is reported that Srinivasa Raghavachar, complained against, has since died and that a brother of the deceased while admitting his brothers' mismanagement, undertakes to set matters right, if the management is entrusted to him.

The Amildar, Assistant Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner recommend that this arrangement might be tried for 2 years, and in this recommendation both the Huzrai Secretary and the 2nd Councillor also agree subject to the conditions, that (1) the new Manager should redeem the alienated lands, (2) utilize the income solely for the benefit of the institution

and (3) put the temple in order.

These proposals may be approved.

6/4/07

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Memorial of Ukkalivere Srinivasa Raghava Char and others of T.Narsipur complaining of mismanagement of the temple affairs of Sri Venugopalaswami at Srirangarajpur.

Order.

Approved

K R W

8/4/07

719

8/4/1907

Recd 11 4 07

Dfts submts 11/4/07

Secy Yll 25/4/07

Seen

K R W

2/5/07

No 2266 dt 3-2-04 Govt
Muz 22-5/03-04

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1439

dated 10th January 1904 received at the
Muz: Secretariat
~~Dewan's Office~~ from Kumbhakonam
Lakshmi Kumara Jatachar of
Srirangarayapura, T. Narasipura Taluk
praying to notice the mismanagement
of the temple and its endowments
of Sri Venugopalaswami at Srirangarayapura

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore Dt for Enquiry
and Report.

By Order,

Bangalore
2-2-1904

Muzrai Secretary
Off Muz: Dept

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

No 2978 Serial 2976
Dt 15-2-05

Government

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 27 / 2719
dated 15-1-05 received at the
~~Dewan's~~ Office from The Parpattegar
of Sri Venugopalaswami
temple at Sri Rangarajpur,
T. Narsipur Taluk complain-
-ing that the manager of the said
temple has been utilizing the
produce of the wet lands
endowed to the temple for his
~~is forwarded, together with its~~
~~enclosures, to the~~
private use is forwarded
to Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District for
enquiry and report

By Order,

DFC. 11/2/05

BANGALORE, 1-1-1905

~~Deputy~~ Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

Endth to the petitioner

2/2/05

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

..Bangalore, 13th February 190- -5

14/2/05 Re 1927 4

3023—3026.

Four Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the for Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 1439 to 1442 of 04-05.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3083
SECRETARIAT

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 17th February 190 5.

ups
ks
1872/05

NC 1974

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is

transferred to the Secretary to th Government of H.H.the Maharaja

of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for report.

~~for~~

No.

1471 of 1904-05.

By Order,

I C S
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Urgent — MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secretary to Govt
Muz. Dept

To
The Dy. Commr
Mysore Dist

Dated 21.
Despatched Feb. 1905.
Received
General No. 3181 & 3181A.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure 1

Reminder sent on the 29th April 1905 (Vide Docket-no 4003 dated the Dc.)

Has the honor to forward herewith petition Regd No. 1471/3083 dy 7th Feby 1905 addressed to H H The Maharaja by Sreenivasa Raghavachar, Parpathegar of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangarajpur, T. Narsipur taluk, alleging the misappropriation by the [illegible]

MGOPB—2,000—9-6-02

by the manager thereof and praying that his services may be dispensed with, and a competent manager, appointed in his stead.

The Dy. Commr is requested to enquire into the allegations of the petrs and submit a detailed report thereon at a very early date.

By order,

21/2/05

Secretary.

DIL
Asstt

Endorsement to the petrs

Ds
20/2/05

Inw. Muzrai

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secretary,

To Muz. Dept.
The Dy. Commr.
Mysore Dist.

Dated

Despatched 21 February 1905

Received

General No. 3182 & 3183.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure

Has the honor to forward herewith for favour of enquiry and disposal petn registered no. 1411/3025 Dy 6th Feby. 1905, addressed to H. H. the Maharaja, by T. Sreenivasa Rau, Clerk, residing in Sri Rangarajapura Agrahar, T. Narsipur taluk, alleging that the said Agrahar consists of grants of houses, lands, endowments [illegible]

MGOPB—2,000—9-6-02

attached to them, for the benefit of the Brahmins; and that the houses have been nearly vacated and most of the lands, alienated; and praying that arrangements may be made to restore the Agraharam to efficiency, ~~for favor of early enquiry & disposal.~~

By order,

Draft
AV 21/2/05.

21/2/05
Secretary.

Endorsement to the petn 20/2/05

No. 3183
Dt. 21.2.05
17-94

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Dy. Commr
Mysore Dist

Dated 18"

Despatched May 1905

Received

General No. 4228 & 4229
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 3.

In cont. of this office
docket no 3181 of 21st Feby. c
requesting the subn of a
report on the alleged comp
against the manager of
Sri Venugopalaswamy te
at Srirangarajapura, T. N
taluk, has the hon'r:
forward herewith a telegr

MGCPB.—11-1-1901—1500

and two petitions noted as

on margin, from the said

individual complaining that

the Amildar of the T. Narsipur

taluk, contrary to the terms of

the govt. order no. 151—8, dis. dated

dt 14th Aug 1894

the paditara and other charges

The temple to his brother.

with a view to ~~create~~ appoint him in

, Head.

The Dy Commr is requested

expedite the subn of the

report, already called for,

~~that further delay.~~

× no. 4059 dt 1-5-05,

† no. 4150 dt 2-5-05.

By order.

Secretary.

15/5/05

Rect in the petn



Office of the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Reminder No. 8 dated 15th July 1905

Memorandum

Reply

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to this Office No. P1471 of the 13th of Feb 05 and to request that a reply may be furnished not later than the 10th — of August

By Order,

(Sd) E Madhavachar

ICS

Private Secretary to

H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of

H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

× Petition of Srinivasa Raghavachar of Srirangarajpur

Original

190

From

To The Deputy Commissioner

Ellysre District.

Dated

Despatched 21st July 1905

Received

General No. 403

No. 17 C/4

Enclosure No.

This Office no 3181 D/ 21st Feb. 1905 calling for an early report regarding the mis appropriation of the income of the inam lands belonging to Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Sreerangarajapuram, T Narasipur Taluk by the manager of the institution and subsequent reminder no 4228 D/ 18th May 1905

Asst

I am the honor to direct
attention to the above
and to request that the
report therein called for
may be expedited

R.O.

Dft 21/7/05

Secretary
Immigration Dept

21/7/05

No. 5977 28-7-05

Memorandum

Draft reply to the Private Secty to His Highness, Cutch to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst / 22/7/05

5
22-7-05.

Reminder no 8 dated 15th July 1905 from the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja regarding the petition of Laximsa Raghunathji of Sumangarajapur

The petition was about the alleged misappropriation of the produce of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Venu Gopalaswamy temple at Sumangarajapur in the T. Narasipur Taluk, by the managers thereof. It was received in this Office on the —

4-45 P.M.
22/7/05

22/7/05

7788

22/7/05

26.VII.05

Read
28/7/05

Issue of draft
28/7/05

18th February 1905 and
sent on to the Dy Comr
Gurgaon District for report,
on the 21st idem -

Reminders were
sent as noted in the
margin, but a reply
has not yet been received -
A reminder has been issued again
sent now

on the 29th April 1905
and
on the 18th May 1905

By order

Secretary to Govt
Gurgaon Dept

19/7/05

No. 784 Dev 2 — తిరస్కరించడమైనది.

(Cover / Petn)

వి.కృ.శా. రద్దు చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుతూ దాఖలు చేసిన పిటిషన్

[illegible] పిటిషనర్ తరపున వాదనలు వినిపించిన న్యాయవాది శ్రీ [illegible] మరియు ప్రతివాదుల తరపున వాదనలు వినిపించిన ప్రభుత్వ న్యాయవాది శ్రీ [illegible] వాదనలు విన్న తరువాత, ఈ కోర్టు ఈ కింది ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేస్తోంది.

పిటిషనర్ తరపు న్యాయవాది వాదిస్తూ, ఈ కేసులో పిటిషనర్ ఒక ప్రైవేటు వ్యక్తి అని, ఆయన ఎటువంటి ప్రభుత్వ పదవిలో లేరని, అందువల్ల ఆయనపై ఎటువంటి అవినీతి నిరోధక చట్టం కింద కేసు నమోదు చేయడానికి వీలు లేదని, అందువల్ల ఈ కేసు రద్దు చేయవలసిందిగా కోరారు.

ప్రతివాదుల తరపు ప్రభుత్వ న్యాయవాది వాదిస్తూ, పిటిషనర్ ప్రభుత్వ ఉద్యోగులతో కుమ్మక్కై, ప్రభుత్వానికి నష్టం కలిగించారని, అందువల్ల ఆయనపై కేసు నమోదు చేయడం సరైనదేనని, అందువల్ల ఈ పిటిషన్ ను కొట్టివేయవలసిందిగా కోరారు.

ఇరుపక్షాల వాదనలు విన్న తరువాత, ఈ కోర్టు ఈ కింది నిర్ణయానికి వచ్చింది. [illegible] పిటిషనర్ ప్రభుత్వ ఉద్యోగులతో కుమ్మక్కై, ప్రభుత్వానికి నష్టం కలిగించారని ఆరోపణలు ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఆయనపై కేసు నమోదు చేయడం సరైనదేనని ఈ కోర్టు అభిప్రాయపడుతోంది. అందువల్ల పిటిషన్ ను కొట్టివేయడమైనది.

1905 - 1906.



From
H. W. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
L. Arunachalavanmi Rao Esq B.A
Secy. to Govt: Home Dept

Refer
Ps
23/8/05

Office note submd
for orders.
20/8/05
MV

Govt Secretariat
No. 2807 B/2 106

Dated 21st August 1905

Despatched

Received

General No -121
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submits report on the petitions received from Srinivasa Raghavachar and others praying that the mismanagement of the Srikantheswara-gopalaswami Temple at Saligrama may be enquired into and that the

To 121/1915

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Mysore District

Dated Mysore 15 August 1905.

To.

L. Ananthaswamy Rao Esquire B. A.

Secretary to Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your official memorandum No 1471 dated the 19th November 1903 and subsequent communications as noted in the margin forwarding for report petitions received from Srinivasa Raghava Char and others bringing to notice the mismanagement of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirungarajapur in the T. Narasipur Taluk and its endowments, I have the honor to state as follows:-

OM No 1877 dated 30-12-1903

OM No 2269 dated 3-2-1904
Muz F 17-94

OM No 3181 dated 21-2-1905

OM No 2975 ~~dated~~ received on 17-2 1905

2. The maginally noted lands are said to have been endowed by the Inamdar for the benifit of the said temple . Excepting Nos 736/872 and 903, which are in the possession of the Archaks who have been cultivating them and taking the produce in return for the services rendered by them the other numbers are reported to be in the possession of Srinivasa Raghava Char the manager of the temple.

	A	G	R	P
S. No 374	1	16	5	8-0
375	2	4	8	0-0
376	2	11	8	0-0

Situate under the Ookalgere tank.

Maji No 229-3-0-0 26-7-1

Situate under the Kagglipur Tank of Dakle Somanathapur Village.

Maji No 1067 1-0-0 8-11-8

Do 736 1-6-4 7-14-4

Do 872 0-11-11 2-12-10

Do 903 1-0-0 6-5-9 all situate under the Ramaswamy channel, Somanathapur village.

3 . After the Thorar people removed themselves to other places the sites to the West and North of the temple ~~are said to have been~~ whereon they

houses and were paying the house tax to the

are said to have been converted by the manager into a Plantain garden which fetches an annual Gutta of Rs 10/- .It is learnt that this garden is in the possession of the manager and the Gutta amount not paid to the temple for the last 5 years.

4. Some Kumbars are likewise said to have been living in houses built by them on the site behind the temple on condition of paying to the temple the house taxes and necessary earthenware required for the use of the temple. On their shifting themselves to other places the aforesaid site now in possession of the manager, is reported to have been converted into Wet, and the produce therefrom Viz: 12 kandies of paddy per annum utilized by himself thus depriving the income to the temple for the last 15 years.

5. Prior to the appointment of Srinivasa Raghava Char as manager of the temple, a gutta of about 25 kandies of paddy from the three survey numbers under the Ookkalgere tank the Yield from which is now estimated at 5o kandies and 16 kandies of paddy from Maji No 1067 under the Ramaswamy Channel is said to have been paid to the temple. After Srinivasa Raghava Char was appointed as manager he is said to have stopped the payment of the Gutta to the temple.

6. From the Amildars report it will be seen that the temple was getting about 5o kandies of paddy from the lands situate under the Somanathapur Tank, that the Yield from these lands for Shubhakrutu (1902-1903) and Shobhakrutu(1903-4) does not seem to have been regularly paid to the temple and that Kar crop has been raised during the year Krodhi (1904-5).

7. The fact that Survey Nos 374-375 and 376 had been attached by the Srinivasa Ranga Char guardian to the minor Seshadri Narasimah Char son of Hundi Krishnama Char in satisfaction of a decree held by him against the manager Srinivasa Raghava Char having come to the notice of the T. Narsipur Taluk Amildar a reference is said to have been made by him to the District Judge pointing out to him that the said lands cannot be sold as they belong

belong to the temple.

8. No other lands are reported to have been attache

9. Of the 16 Vrithidars in the Village 9 are reported to be living in the village, while the remaining 7 have left the place to reside else-where.

lo. The Amildar in his report No 9 dated 9th August 19o4, reports that the compound wall and Garbhankana" are in need of repairs and recommends that the right to cultivate the Inam lands be ordered to be sold as is done in the case of other temples.

11. The petitions received with the above are herewith re-transmitted together with the file of papers bearing on the subject and ending with the T. Narsipur Taluk Amildar's report No 213/548 dated 24th May 19o5.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

H H Tyabji

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

compd



13/9/05

Office of the Private Secretary to

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 469 / Enc 2.

Dated, Mysore, 5th Sept 1905.

---Two--- Petitions as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are transferred to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal

By order,

No. 279 & 280 of 05-06.

Signed E. Maconochie,

I. C. S.

private Secretary

to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
1114
6.9.05

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
5 - SEP 1905
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

ಗೆ ಕ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರಿಗೆ

5

6

ఆర్థిక ఉపవాదకర్తల ఉద్దేశ్యాలు ఎంతవరకు ---
ఉపకథాంధ్రకర్తల్లో కొద్దిమంది ఉపవాదకులు ఉన్నారు
అంగా కొన్ని కథలలో ఉన్నట్లుగా చిలవలు పలవలు
వేసి వ్రాయలేదు ---

కొన్ని కథలు ఎంతో వైవిధ్యంతో ఉన్నాయి
ఉపవాద ఆంశాలను ఎన్నుకోవడంలో వారి
ప్రతిభ కథాంశాలను ఎన్నుకోవడంలో వారి
దృక్పథం ఎంతో విలువైనవి. ---

ఉపవాదం - ఫలానా వ్యక్తి ఎవరి
దగ్గరనుంచి ఏ సందర్భంలో ఎలా
ఎందుకు ఎక్కడ ఎప్పుడు ఎవరికి
చెప్పాడు అనే విషయాలు ఉపవాదంలో
ఉంటాయి. ఉపవాదకర్తలు ఈ విషయాలన్నిటినీ
ఒకేవిధంగా చెప్పలేదు. ఒక్కొక్కరు ఒక్కొక్క
విధంగా చెప్పారు. ఉపవాదకర్తలు ఆ విషయాలను
ఎన్నుకోవడంలో వారి వారి ప్రతిభ వ్యక్తమవుతుంది.
ఉపవాదకర్తలు ఎన్నుకున్న విషయాలు
ఎంతవరకు సమంజసంగా ఉన్నాయి అనే
విషయాన్ని ఆలోచించడం ఎంతో ఆవశ్యకం

Muz. 1 A.]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Inam Superintendent
in Mysore.

Dated 7th September 1905.
Despatched

Received

General No. 12-81
Muzrai F. No. 17-94

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to
request to be furnished
with an extract from the Inam
Register pertaining to the
Lands endowed to the Sri
Venugopala Swamie temple
at Srirangarajpur Agrahar
in the T. Narsipur Taluk.

By order,

14/4/05

Secretary.

31/8/05

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—12-7-05.

1572

4/10/05

Refer please

1905

From

The Superintendent of Indian Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Muzrai Department

Dated 3rd
Despatched 3rd
Received
} October

General No. 55
No.

Enclosure No.

See note cont'd & sub'd for orders

12/10/05 WJ

As required in his docket No. 1281/1794 dated 7th September 1905, has the honor to forward herewith, copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the Lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple, at Sirrane, and Agrahara in the Inam Kudlu Narsipur Taluk.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

No. 2807 A/2 1.06.
Encl. one file

To

The Dy Commr
Tanjore
~~Kumbum~~ District

With reference to his letter no 121 dated 21st August 1905, reporting on the petitions of the Sarpathungam and others of the Sri Vara Sowdeswari-Swami temple at Sriramanjapuram in the T. Narasipur Taluk, has the honour to observe that the report called for on the grievances of Venkatagiri Raghavachari & his two Telegrams and to this office petitions forwarded

with this Office Docket-

No 4228 dated 18th May 1905,

has not been received

with the present records -

The questions for the decision

of Government ~~of these~~ in this case are (i)

~~is~~ whether the management

of the Institution should

continue in the hands

of the present managers, Judicial

Commissioner Raghunathan

as prayed for in his

petitions referred to above,

or whether he should

be divested of it on

the score of mismanagement,

as pointed out by the

Sarpanchayar and others;

and (ii) if in the latter case, what the Judicial

Commissioner has to say on the alleged mismanagement

on his part

case that ~~he misappropriated~~

and how

~~of~~ the lands endowed to the temple

by

by his ancestors (such
which are now in his possession
should be dealt with, if the temple property
is taken away from him
For the final decision
on these questions, the
records of enquiry
made on his telegram referred to above,
and letters as well as
the papers connected
with the late District
Officer Mr. C. Srinivasa
Iyengar's letter no
179 dated 29th Sept. 1885
to the Dy Comr myore.
District and those
connected with the Govt
Proceedings no 6104/229 1886
dated 29th January 1886
issued thereon, are required.

The

The Dy Comm^r is
requested to submit
these papers early together
with his own opinion in the case.

2. The records returned
with this letter under
reply are herewith returned
for reference and resubmission -

By order

Dvl- 17/12/05 Camp Kalaba

Secretary

Mysore Dept

17/12/05

Send this to
Belsare
in court of
Marathon
Correspt
6/7/19

20/6/19

20.9.12 [illegible]

374
375
376

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Translation of the Petn no 5586 dt 21st June 06 received from the Agent Sundara Sudarsana Char of Srirangarajapur -

Vulkalagere Jodidar, myself and others requested the Muzrai Secretary, when he was here, that the lands endowed to the temple may be taken over under Govt management. Though a notice was issued to Rangachar by the Amildar, he evaded its service. Some of the residents of Vulkalagere have intended to misappropriate the lands endowed to the temple misrepresenting that some banjar lands are in the temple khata. I am also a share holder of these lands. It seems that Rangachar went over to you in connection with certain honors in Melkote Temple. The following inam lands are rightly endowed to the temple:-

Sur. no 374
375
376

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

Muz. 3] 574 Enl

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 5586

dated [illegible] received at this Office from Sundara Sudarsana Char of Srirangarajapuram praying that the lands endowed to Venugopala Swami temple at Uttalgere may be taken over under Govt management

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr Bangalore Dt in connection with this Office Memo No. G 210 D 28 3 dt and he is requested to expedite the submission of his report.

By Order,

BANGALORE, 19 .

21/7/06

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

~~189~~ 1906-07

2461

From.

M. Kanakaraya Aiyar Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly District

To

L. Ananthasamy Rao Esq B.A
Secy. to Govt. Revenue Dept

Dated

Despatched 9/11. 06.

Received

General No. 2801
No.

Enclosure No. Occ file

Submits report re management of the Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Sri Rangarayapuram in the D. Varaiyur Taluk.

Rupees

DS
14/11/06

C
16/11/06

note cont & return'd
W 19.11.06

No 2000/1906

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 9/11 November 1906.

To

L. Anantswami Rao Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai's Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket. No. 2807 dated the 17th December 1905 and subsequent reminders ending with your docket No. 2360 dated the 6th November 1906 regarding the management of the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple at Sriranganaj puram in the T. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is reported that the Manager Sreenivasa Raghava Chariar died on the 27th February 1906.

2. Rangachar brother of the late Manager (while admitting that his deceased)

deceased brother mismanaged the temple states that if the Management of the temple be given over to him, he would set matters right.

3. Both the Amildar and the Assistant Commissioner recommend that as a trial for a period of 2 years Rangachar may be appointed as the Manager of the temple

4 Since Sreenivasa Raghava Char is dead and his brother promises to conduct himself properly, the recommendation of the Assistant Commissioner, may, it is requested, be approved of by Government.

5. The enclosures received with the marginally noted communications are herewith retransmitted together with the file of papers bearing on the subject received with the Assistant Commissioner's yadi No. 55/57 dated 16th July 1906

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

* 3181 dated 21st January 1905
4228/13-94 " 18th May 1905
574 " 21st July 1906.

Yeas

Read,

1) Govt Ero no 151-8 Muz 7 17-94 dated 14th August 1894, passing orders on the management of the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple at Srirangaraajpura Agraharam, in the T narsipur Taluk

2) memorial registered no 1471/3083 dated 14th February 1905, addressed to H H The maharaja by Srinivasaraghava Char ~~superintendent~~ the temple manager of ~~T narsipur~~ complaining of the mismanagement of the temple affairs by — Srinivasaraghava Char, manager of the Sri Venu gopala swamy temple at Srirangaraajpura

3) Correspondence ending with letter no 200 dated

9th November 1906 from the Deputy Commr of the Mysore District, reporting on the above

4) Docket no 55 dated 3d October 1906 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, forwarding an extract of the Inam register pertaining to the Inam lands endowed to the instn.

Order thereon no Dy No 5026-8 Dy
Enclo 26/4/07
& 5029

From the extract of the Inam register ~~and the Dy~~ read from the Inam Superintendent and from the ~~Commrs~~ correspondence, it is observed that the temple of Sri Venugopalaswamy at Srirangarajapura Agraharam in the T narsipur Taluk is a muzrai institution endowed with Inam lands and also in the

enjoyment of an annua
Cash Jasork of Rs 173-15-0
In the order of the 16th
Agust 1894 read above, it
was directed that Govt
would not object to the
~~Agjamaan~~ manager Srinivasaraghava
char- doing what Dharma
karsis did in other temples,
~~so long as he duly performs~~
~~the duties entrusted To him~~
and that the Govt in the
Muzrai Deptt would be
the sole judge of the way
in which he discharged
his duties. Petition
~~It is complained~~
~~in the memorial cited above,~~
~~that Contrary To the terms~~
~~of the Govt order, the manager~~
~~Srinivasaraghava char-~~
~~who is also the Archakagar~~
~~Jodidar has been mis-~~
~~appropriating the produce~~
~~of the Inam lands endowed~~
~~and has also alienated some of the~~
~~to the temple, and that~~

But complaints of
mismanagement and
alienation of the temple
Inam lands by the
manager have been
received and
he is requested to
have since died

he does not disburse
Padikara to the servants
thereof and that in satis-
faction of his private
debts, the temple lands
are likely to be brought
under attachment. The
memorialist prays that the
Dais manager may be
removed from office and a
competent person appointed
in his stead. The Deputy
Commissioner of the Mysore
District to whom the memorial
was referred for report, states
in his final communication read above,
that the manager Srinivasa
Raghava char against
whom complaints of mis-
management have been
preferred, died on the 27th
a. February 1906, that his
b. brother Ranga char while
managing the deceased's
brother mismanaged the
temple, pray that —

|| The Dy Comr states that the deceased's brother Rangachar

proposal if the management of the temple be given over to him, he would set matters right and ~~the Officer including the local Muzrai officers recommend that the management of the institution be entrusted~~ To be temporarily for a period of 2 years

accordingly recommends the grant of his prayer

His recommendation is approved,

Under the circumstances the Govt are pleased to accept approve of the Deputy Commr's recommendation in entrusting the management of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangapatnapura, to Ranga char, brother of the deceased Srinivasa Raghava char, as a tentative measure for a period of 2 years,

subject to the following conditions:—

1) that Rangachar should redeem the alienated temple lands within a period of one year,

2) that the income derived from the sites and other lands belonging to the temple which his deceased brother was reported to have been unauthorizedly enjoying, should hereafter be utilized solely for the benefit of the institution, and

3) that the temple which is said to be in need of repairs should be put in order as early as possible.

~~The action taken should be reported for the information of Govt.~~

H H's order) 25/4/07
Secretary.

21/19/4/07

To, The Dy Commr Mysore Distt. 5029 Dewan Supdt in Mysore,
returning the encls.
Comptroller, Mysore Secrt. Encl to the memorialists.

as per H H's order

Dpp 26/4

No 5029
26/4/07

Endorsement granted

Srinivasaraghava char

of T narsipur

with ref to his memorial registered no 1471/3083 dated 7th Feby 05, addressed to H H The Maharaja complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangapatna in the T. narsipur Taluk by the (late) manager Srinivasaraghava char

Petitioner of the Sri Venu Gopalaswami temple at Srirangapatna - mysore

In reply, the memorialist is informed that orders have ~~this day~~ been issued by Govt entrusting under certain conditions, the management of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangarajapura, to Ranga char, brother of

eased Srinivasa Raghava
e xx, as a tentative
measure for a period of
2 years

By order,

25/4/07

Secretary

19/4/07